

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/757,104 Examiner WILLIAM L. BODDIE | ANDERSON ET AL. Art Unit 2629 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: On Appeal

(1) WILLIAM L. BODDIE. (3) _____.

(2) Steven Nichols. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12/5/08

Time: 2:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections involving Admitted Prior Art

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

Availability of Figure 1 as prior art

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed perfecting Applicants' argument that Figure 1 is solely Applicants' own work product. Applicants' representative did not want to make such a statement.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William L Boddie/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

